## Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications Legislation Committee

Answers to questions on notice **Environment and Energy portfolio** 

Question No: 123

**Hearing**: Supplementary Budget Estimates

Outcome: Outcome 1

**Program**: Environment Standards Division (ESD)

**Topic** Amendment to the Environment Protection and Biodiversity

**Conservation Act** 

Hansard Page: n/a

Question Date: 31 October 2016

**Question Type**: Written

## Senator McKim, Nick asked:

If so, have you done any analysis on the additional court costs that will be involved in requiring an additional court determination as to standing before any hearing of the merits of a legal challenge<sup>1</sup>?

<sup>1</sup>Many of the submissions to the Senate inquiry into the proposal to repeal s.487 raised the concern that this repeal would only lead to more litigation, not less.

## Answer:

See response to Question 122. The length and associated cost of any court proceedings depends on a variety of factors, standing being only one. Standing itself can only be established on a case-by-case basis as it depends on the applicant.